$(0.05 > \alpha)$.(- -)
. (250)
. (%63.8)

. _ _ *

ABSTRACT

This literature aimed at revealing the reality of application and development methods of knowledge management operations in Al-Quds Open University, by identifying whether there were statistically significant differences at the level of the function ($\alpha < 0.05$) on the reality of applying the knowledge management operations at Al-Quds Open University which may attribute to certain variables (i.e. years of service - the educational region - scientific qualifications). The descriptive approach was used in this study. The two researchers set a questionnaire, composed of seven dimensions which form the knowledge management operations. Such questionnaire was distributed on a sample of (250) academic supervisors from amongst the supervisors of Al-Quds Open University. The results of the study revealed that the application of the knowledge management operations at Al-Ouds Open University was relatively moderate, with an average of 63.8%. The study also revealed that the capacity of reading, cultural level, the nature of work, the number of researches, the workshops, and the scope of interest for those who held academic qualification under the doctorate degree were rather poor in comparison to those holding a doctorate degree at the university. It also showed that there were no statistically significant differences on the reality of application of knowledge management operations in Al-Ouds Open University which attributed to variables of the years of experience and the educational region.

The study resulted in a group of recommendations and suggestions, including: the need to the existence of a leadership supporting the knowledge management strategy, which should have a clear vision for identifying various types of knowledge, selection qualified individuals and expert advisors who have knowledge, the establishment of a knowledge management division as a part of the organizational structure of the administration of the university, whose duties would include organizing the knowledge management operations, control, development, and follow-up of the knowledge management operations. A knowledge director would be appointed to be in charge of such division, and who should be well-qualified and capable of performing its knowledge-based assignments and able to develop future plans for building up and developing knowledge. Also, it was advised that research units should be established in each section of the University, and which would be part of the Knowledge Management Department in order to encourage employees to exchange and gain knowledge.

:

.(1:2008)

2012					
			()	
	:			:	
	:				
					.1
					.2
					.3
		:		:	
					-1
					- 2
					- 3

-1 -2

.

-3

-

. 2012/2011

:(Knowledge)

: .(:)

.(:) (7-6 2004)

:(Knowledge Management)

.(74:2006)

(10:2005)

(197 2008)

(Donald MARCHAND) (Peter DRUCKER)

(MARCHAND) " (DRUCKER)

(DRUCKER)

(http://ar.wikipedia.org)

(Harris and Henderson, 1999:88- 95)

(Advinsson, 1997: 355 - 373)

: (Explicit Knowledge) –1

(Tacit Knowledge) -2

•

-1 . -2

-3

. -4

). (Nanoka and Takeuchi,1995:14-37) (14-37:1995:

:

:

Sveiby, and) .

(Lloyd ,2001:67 (7 :2006)

:

.

(45 -40 :2006) (316-313:2001) :

: -1

: -2 ·

···

: -3

: -4

: -5

(2007) .

: -5

· : -6

· : -7

(2007)

. 38

: -8

.

· : -9

: (318-315:2001)

: : .1

. : .2

. : .3

· : .4

(2003) Coakes

· :

; : :

: (2010) -1

(347)

(2009) -2

(135) (52)

(2008) -3

(2008)

(

(90)

(300)

. SPSS

· ·

: (2008) -5

: (6)

. (2008) -6

:

···

· : (2007) -7

(492)

:

. (– –)

: (Krogh,etal,2001) (2001) -8

.

: (Helfat & Raubitschek, 2000) (2000) -9
:()

(Pan & Scarbough, 1999) (1999) -10

;

: .. (Tolen, 1999) (1999) -11

:

.

(Gupta & Govindarajan, 1997) (1997) -12

(987)

: : -1

2008 2009 2010) -2

(2007 2008 2008

2012					•
2008	2009	2010 (2007	2008	2008	3
			(-	4
2009	201	0)	(2007	2008 200	5 8
2008	2008	2010)	(2007	6
					-1
	-)				-2
-)	.(<u> </u>	-		

:

:

: -1

. (75:2000)

: -2

800

. 2012/2011 : -3

(%25)

. 250 .

190 (%83)

	10	10-6	5	
24	11	9	4	
18	6	12	0	
13	4	9	0	
11	3	7	1	
8	1	7	0	
74	25	44	5	

	10	10-6	5		
00		40			
23	8	12	3		
35	12	17	6		
20	8	11	1		
30	3	15	12		
8	1	6	1		
116	32	61	23		
190	57	105	28		
		()		•	<u> </u>

; .)

.(44)

(6)

(6)

(7)

. (6)

(6)

.(5-1)

. : -5

(30)

(SPSS)

(0.619 -0.456)

(0.01)

: -7

(0.926)

: (SPSS)

-1
."Pearson" -2

. -5

-3

-4

T.Test

:

:

(190=)

4	63.44	3.814	19.032	3616	6	. :
1	75.47	3.339	22.642	4302	6	:
3	64.47	2.205	19.342	3675	6	. :
5	60.84	5.084	21.295	4046	7	. :
2	68.20	2.330	23.868	4535	7	. :
7	56.86	4.434	17.058	3241	6	:
6	56.89	4.982	17.068	3243	6	:
	63.78	17.708	140.305	26658	44	

.(%63.78)

(2009 2007 2010)

···

(%75.47)

. (%68.20) (%64.47)

. (%63.44) (%60.84)

(%56.89) .(%56.86)

"T. test" ()

: test ()

. .

		11 11				
			3.696	17.770	74	:
0.01	0.000	3.765	3.683	19.836	116	
	0.002	-3.122	3.005	21.716	74	:
0.01	0.002	-3.122	3.420	23.233	116	
	0.046	-2.013	1.761	23.446	74	:
0.05	0.040	-2.013	2.601	24.138	116	
	0.048	-1.994	2.026	18.946	74	:
0.05	0.048	-1.994	2.284	19.595	116	
			4.522	21.270	74	:
	0.958	-0.053	5.432	21.310	116	
			4.513	16.351	74	:
	0.079	-1.764	4.343	17.509	116	
			4.337	17.365	74	 :
	0.514	0.654	5.362	16.879	116	
	0.032	-2.160	16.985	136.865	74	
0.05	0.032	-2.100	17.882	142.500	116	

1.96 = (0.05) (188)

2.58 = (0.01) (188)

п п п

)

.(

(2007 2010

One Way ANOVA

			42.078	2	84.157				
	0.055	2.952	14.255	187	2665.654	:			
				189	2749.811				
			14.097	2	28.195				
	0.284 1.268	0.284 1.26	0.284 1.26	0.284 1.20	1.268	11.120	187	2079.469	:
				189	2107.663				
			5.465	2	10.930				
	0.367	1.007	5.427	187	1014.781	:			
				189	1025.711				
		0.566	2.766	2	5.531				
	0.569		4.884	187	913.232	:			
				189	918.763				

		11 11						
			35.367	2	70.734			
	0.256	1.374	25.747	187	4814.761	:		
				189	4885.495			
	0.053		57.630	2	115.261	:		
		2.993	2.993	2.993	2.993	19.257	187	3601.102
				189	3716.363			
			15.332	2	30.663	:		
	0.542	0.615	24.917	187	4659.447			
				189	4690.111			
			929.847	2	1859.694			
	0.051	3.029	306.998	187	57408.601			
				189	59268.295			

4.71 = (0.01)(2 189)3.04 = (0.05)(2 189)

(0.05)

2008 2007 2010)

(2008

One Way ANOVA

. .

			1		
		20.170	4	80.679	:
0.236	1.398	14.428	185	2669.132	
			189	2749.811	
		24.304	4	97.217	:
0.067	2.236	10.867	185	2010.446	
			189	2107.663	
		3.611	4	14.442	
0.620	0.661	5.466	185	1011.268	•
			189	1025.711	
		7.704	4	30.815	
0.175	1.605	4.800	185	887.948	:
			189	918.763	
		43.761	4	175.042	:
0.148	1.719	25.462	185	4710.452	
			189	4885.495	
		29.096	4	116.385	:
0.205	1 405	19.459	185	3599.979	
0.203	1.495		189	3716.363	
		57.599	4	230.397	:
0.053	2.389	24.107	185	4459.713	
			189	4690.111	
		512.444	4	2049.776	
0.162	1.657	309.289	185	57218.518	
			189	59268.295	

. 3.36 = (0.01) (4 189) 2.36 = (0.05)(4.189)

(0.05)

2009 2007 2010 1999

.(1999 2000

:

. :

· :

· :

· :

.

.4

.5

.6

.7

			.8
		•	.9
		:	
		:	:
	59	95	-
		469	-
		:	:
	(2007) .		.1
dir.com/forum/forum	n nosts asn2TID=	5733httn·//www.ir	ns- 2
dii .com/fordifi/fordifi	(2009).	575511ttp://www.jp	.3
-	, ,		
		:	
	.2009	16- 15	
3		(2000).	.4
		:	
	(2008)		.5
/ 2000/7/12 10			
(2008/7/13 -10 .	((2008)	6
. :	(1428) .	(2008) .	.6 .7
	(1428) .		. 1
			_
	•		

2012	
2012	•

1	ı	" (2001).	.8
	2001	8-6	
	(2006) .	. :	.9
(1425).		. :	.10
	.() 2 (2008).	.11
			_
1	:	(2007)	.12
		. : (2008).	.13
		(2008).	.14
. :	1	(2005) .	.15
		(2010).	.16
14		(2006)	.17
			:

• • •

: :

- 18. Advinsson, L. (1997) "Developing Intellectual Capital at Skandia". Long Range Planning. Vol. 30.
- 19.Alive, Mar yam, (1997). Knowledge Management and Knowledge Systems, 12/19/97. Maryla and Business School, University of Maryland. Htt://www.rhsmith.umd.edu/is/malavi/icis-97-KMS
- 20. Coakes, Elayne (ed.) (2003), "Knowledge Management: Current Issues and Challenges", U.S.A., Idea Group Publishing.
- 21.Gupta, A.K. & Govindarajan, V.,(1997)," Knowledge Flows Within Multinational Corporations", Strategic Management Journal, Vol.21, No.4.
- 22. Harris, J. and A. Henderson, "a Better Mythology for System Design," Proceeding of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems'. (New York: ACM Press, 1999.).
- 23.Helfat, C. E. & Raubitschek, R.,(2000)," Product Sequencing: Co-Evolution of Knowledge, Capabilities and Products", Strategic Management Journal, Vol.21,Issue,10-11.
- 24.Krogh, G. Von & Nonaka, I. and Aben, M., (2001)," Making the Most of your Company's Knowledge: A Strategic Framework", Long Rang Planning, 34
- 25.Macintosh, Ann, (2001). Adaptive Workflow to Support Knowledge intensive Tasks. The University of Edinburgh, 10/12/2001. http://www.aiai.ed.qc.uk/~alm/KAMSLIDES/sid001.htm
- 26.Nonaka, I. And H. Takeuchi, (1995), "the Knowledge Creating Company". Organizational Science. Vol. 5, No.1.
- 27.Pan, S. & Scarbough, H.(1999)," Knowledge Management in Practice: An Exploratory Case Study", Technology analysis & Strategic Management, Vol. 11, No.3.
- 28. Sveiby, Karl, and Tom Lloyd (2001)" Managing Knowledge" (London: Bloomsbury)
- 29.Tolen, Frans A.L. (1999), "Knowledge Management: A Practical Approach", Information Service & USE, Vol.19,Issue1.